

Page 1 of 1

GREAT SHIPS INITIATIVE (GSI) STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP) DEVIATION FORM

DATE/TIME: 8/26/2009 to 9/1/2009 (Form Completed 10/27/2009)

TEST ID NUMBER: 09-SI-1 and 09-SI-2 (Calibration Trials)

RDTE FACILITY OR BENCH-SCALE TESTING? Research, Testing, and Evaluation Facility Test GSI RESEARCH TEAM MEMBER NAME/TITLE: Kelsey R. Prihoda, GSI Assistant QA/QC Officer

Deviation Number	Description of Deviation (Include SOP Number and Title)	Detailed Description of Impact on Study (If Any)	Description of Corrective Actions Taken (If Needed)
	SOP Ne. GSI Serv. By Rev MANIE. —Procedure for Stant who	Therimpatet of this devention of contine of the entropy Trans. To are	No carrective action
	rieterotraphic Plate Counts (1994) (1990s) using IDEXX's Simplate	laro Zpistoanthe nost-	of the geviation.
	for HPC Viction. Section	Bacteria resumermas nos de	i neterormohik nacteria
	Sample Collection 1/2 Wichsbial samples collected 1	val e due to potentia lexags, re to active substance	were treated with sod unit nest tate.
	from Trais I and 2 filt and 3 fil	to greater man 48 nours affer sample callection. A though	upon receipt in the laboratory to neutralize
1	Dauleria were not neutral ded	the samples were exposed to a acrive substance for up to 48	the active substance during in als 5-7
	Lic neutral vechat the time of the sample collection of when a	frours, the samples were in uted fanana vs siresulting in	
	defined exposure period has been reached file, sodium	an active structance concentration that was at	
	UniOSUPate to figuralize	least 2 times lower in the	
		concentration at the time of	

GSI Research Team Me	ember Comments: No f	urther comments regarding this deviation.
_{Signature:} Kelsey R. Prihoda	Digitally signed by Kelsey R. Prihoda DN: cn-Kelsey R. Prihoda, cutls, cut-SRI, cut-Cualfy Systems, email-Reinfinda@wexper. adu Reason: latest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Date: 2009;10.30 11:46:49-0500	
GSI Microbial Analyst (Comments:	·
Signature: Heidi Sail	Digitally signed by Held Salliard DN: cmHeldi Salliard, cmUS, c=University of Wisconsin Superior, cu=LSRI, email-healliar@awsuper edu Date: 2009.10.30 14:32:49-05'00'	
GSI Principal Investigation	tor Comments:	
Signature: Allegra Cangelos	Digitally signed by Allegra Cangelosi DN: cn=Allegra Cangelosi, o=NEMWI, ou, email=acangelo@nemw.org, c=US Date: 2009.11.02 11:48:50 -05'00'	



Page 1 of 1

GREAT SHIPS INITIATIVE (GSI) STANDARD **OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP) DEVIATION FORM**

DATE/TIME: 8/26/2009 to 9/1/2009 (Form Completed 10/27/2009)

TEST ID NUMBER: 09-SI-1 and 09-SI-2 (Calibration Trials)

Cangelosi

RDTE FACILITY OR BENCH-SCALE TESTING? Research, Testing, and Evaluation Facility Test GSI RESEARCH TEAM MEMBER NAME/TITLE: Kelsey R. Prihoda, GSI Assistant QA/QC Officer

Deviation Number	Description of Deviation (Include SOP Number and Title)	Detailed Description of Impact on Study (If Any)	Description of Corrective Actions Taken (If Needed)
	SCHING CSMSQ V. 6/RWSAVI + Procedure for Algae/Sma	i ing te is month anathan Trials thank 2 as a result of a	- Noted mentione action was: : :
		this deviation. A Qocaum	deviction :
	Section: QA/QCH.13. There is a way no QA:: minimize minimized as a		
	during the Calibration Thais:	Promiedor of Trakita	
	Trais Land 27. The GA pount was should be performed on at least?		
1.	10% et kamidles kir et leaktionte i		
		each enalysis, and the average to standard.	
		deviction (advicent)	
	assessment methods are ex-	s milarity was 93% ± 1.8%.	
	taxonomy.	Therefore itsen on	
		bias was acceptable for	

GSI Research Team Member Comments: No additional comments regarding this deviation. Signature: Kelsey R. Prihoda Div. Graffagusapper. A Prihoda Div. Graffagusapper. A Prihoda Div. Graffagusapper. A Prihoda Div. Graffagusapper. A Div. Graffagusa **GSI Senior Phytoplankton Scientist Comments:** Signature: Euan D. Reavie Digitally signad by Euan D. Reavie DN: cn=Euan D. Reavie, email-ereavie@nri.umn.edu, o=NRRI ou=CWE, c=US Dete: 2009.10.27 15:04:01-05'00' **GSI Principal Investigator Comments:** Digitally signed by Allegra Cangelosi DN: cn=Allegra Cangelosi, o=NEMW ou, email=acangelo@nemw.org, c=L Date: 2009.11.06 12:27:41 -05'00' Signature: Allegra



Page 1 of 2

GREAT SHIPS INITIATIVE (GSI) STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP) DEVIATION FORM

DATE/TIME: 8/31/2009 (Form Completed 10/27/2009)

TEST ID NUMBER: 09-SI-1

RDTE FACILITY OR BENCH-SCALE TESTING? Research, Testing, and Evaluation Facility Test GSI RESEARCH TEAM MEMBER NAME/TITLE: Kelsey R. Prihoda, GSI Assistant QA/QC Officer

Deviation Number	Description of Deviation (Include SOP Number and Title)	Detailed Description of Impact on Study (If Any)	Description of Corrective Actions Taken (If Needed)
	SOP No: GSI/SOP/BS/RA/RT/6—Procedure for Assessing Chronic Residual Toxicity of a Ballast Treatment System to Ceriodaphnia dubia. Section "Test Procedure", ¶11. C. dubia were fed half the required volume (0:1:mL) of Yeast-Cereal Leaves-Trout Chow suspension (YCT) and Selenastrum capricornutum. Each feeding must consist of 0.2 mL Yeast-Cereal Leaves-Trout Chow suspension (YCT) and 0.2 mL Selenastrum capricornutum concentrate/30 mL exposure solution (to provide 2-2.3 x 105	There is not an impact on Trial 1 C. dubia WET Test as a result of this deviation. This test met the test acceptability criteria for C. dubia as set by the US EPA.	No corrective action was taken at the time of the deviation. The correct volume of YCT and S capricornulum were fed to C. dubia during Trials 4-7.
2	sop No: GSI/SOP/BS/RA/RT/8 — Procedure for Assessing Chronic Residual Toxicity of a Ballast Water Treatment System to the Green Alga (Selenastrum capricornutum; DRAFT). Section "QA/QC", ¶4. There was no QA count conducted during the S. capricornutum WET Test. A QA count of the algae cell concentration in at least 10 % of the test chambers must be performed during every trial.	The impact on Trial 1 WET Testing as a result of this deviation is that there is no measurement of operator/counting bias for the <i>S. capricornutum</i> WET Test.	No corrective action was taken at the time of deviation. It will be important to conduct QA counts on <i>S. capricornutum</i> WET Tests in the future in order to determine an acceptable level of bias.

Page 2 of 2

	* ******* *** *** ****
30P No. (03)/SOR/BS/MARCHARE, in more sinct an impaction in No correct vera	
\sim Procedure for Assessing C from c . \sim c =1.P, premises WET \sim cacen at the tin	
Residual litalis by the Ballost Control as a result of this conviscion.	
Treatment System to this and a local discount for add global and a second secon	
Partiead Minnow (Rimigerales) — Tibodio dinoctimo est (Rimi - 11 p.m 11 p.m.	
prometosis Section Fiest Fig. 5 - Water quality in thoses.	
: Procedure , ¶7. Fathead : shambers it in stestings	
 . Minnowswere feditives times to the tost acceptability.	
. Pon test renewal day 3. rechapos — cil teria for Priormologias	
i ishquid be fed twice da iy atis. I ish isotlov tij čiuš EPA I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
naur intervals, carvae a effect in the second secon	
approximately 0.1g share a large state of the second secon	
Liconcentrated solution of less	
than 24 hour old or heishamid	
"Artemio sacili." il la	

GSI Research Team Member Comments: No additional comments regarding WET Testing SOP Deviations.

Signature: Kelsey R. Prihoda

GSI Co-Lead On-Site Investigator Comments:

Signature: Matthew

TenEyck

GSI Principal Investigator Comments:

Signature: Allegra

Cangelosi

Digitally signed by Allegra Cangelosi DN: cn=Allegra Cangelosi, o⇒NEMWI, ou, email=acangelo@nemw.org, c=US Date: 2009.11.06 11:34:19 -05'00'
